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Purpose

The National FFA Prepared Public Speaking Leadership Development Event is designed to develop agricultural leadership, communication skills and promote interest in leadership and citizenship by providing member participation in agricultural public speaking activities.

Event Rules

* The State FFA Prepared Public Speaking Leadership Development Event will be limited to one participant from each FFA District. Chapters shall have speaking Leadership Development Event to determine two students who will compete at the District Competition. The winner of the State FFA Public Speaking Leadership Development Event will qualify for the BIG E competition and the National FFA Competition.
* It is highly recommended that participants wear FFA Official Dress for this event.
* Each participant’s manuscript will be the result of his or her own efforts. It is expected that the participant will take advantage of all available training facilities at his or her local school in developing his or her speaking ability. Facts and working data may be secured from any source but must be appropriately documented.
* Three to eight competent and impartial persons will be selected to judge the event. At least one judge should have an agricultural background.. Any advisor who has a student competing in a speaking event may not serve as a judge for that respective speaking event.

Event Format

Manuscript

Manuscripts are to be uploaded in PDF format by the designated deadline on ctffa.org or CAAE.org website. A penalty of 20 points (10 percent of available manuscript points) will be assessed by the judges scoring the manuscripts for any late submissions. Manuscripts are due three weeks before the State FFA Convention to the State FFA Coordinator. BIG E and National FFA competition will have deadlines as defined in their specific rules and regulations.

Manuscript requirements:

* Formatted to 8 1/2” x 11” double spaced with a 1” margin
* Cover page including the speech title, participant’s name, state and year
* Font size must be 12 point using a serif (Times New Roman, Cambria, etc.) or sans serif font (Ariel, Calibri, etc.)
* Follow the most current APA style guide for developing a reference list and in text citations.
* Manuscripts not meeting these guidelines will be penalized.

A complete and accurate reference list should be included in manuscript. All participants in the State FFA, BIG E and National FFA Prepared Public Speaking Leadership Development Event should give credit to others where any direct quotes, phrases or special dates are used in the manuscript, in order not to be guilty of plagiarism.

Subjects

Participants may choose any current subject of an agricultural nature for their speeches.

This may include the areas of agribusiness, animal systems, plant systems, environmental services, food products and processing, natural resource systems and power, structural and technical systems.

Official judges of the State, BIG E or National FFA Prepared Public Speaking Leadership Development Event shall disqualify a participant if he or she speaks on a non-agricultural subject.

Time Limit

Each speech will be a minimum of six minutes in length and a maximum of eight minutes. Participants are to be penalized one point per second on each judge’s score sheet for being under six minutes or over eight minutes. Each participant will be allowed five additional minutes in which he or she will be asked questions relating to his or her speech and/or manuscript content. No time warnings will be given.

Scoring

Prior to the event, the content and composition of all manuscripts will be individually scored without collaboration by three to four qualified individuals using the manuscript rubric. Manuscript scores will be averaged and supplied to the presentation judges after they have scored the oral presentation. The average manuscript score along with manuscript comments will be presented to the participants at the awards function.

Presentation judges will be furnished with copies of the participants’ manuscripts, which they will use to formulate questions. Questions shall pertain directly to the speaker’s subject. Questions containing two or more parts should be avoided.

Event officials will randomly determine the speaking order and ensure that prior to giving the speech, each speaker is properly introduced. A participant will be permitted to use notes while speaking, but deductions in scoring may be made for this practice if it detracts from the effectiveness of the presentation. No props are to be used. Applause shall be withheld until all participants have spoken.

A designated timekeeper will record the time used by each participant in delivering his or her speech, noting under time or over time, if any, for which deductions will be made.

At the time of the event, each judge without collaboration with others will use the official rubric to score each participant on the delivery of the speech.

Each judge will ask questions at the conclusion of the oral presentation of the speech. Judges will score each participant on the ability to answer all questions asked by judges. The full five minutes for questions should be used.

When all participants have finished speaking, each judge will total the score on composition, delivery and response to questions for each participant. The timekeeper(s) record along with the manuscript score will be used in computing the final score after the presentation and response to questions have been scored. Again, each judge without collaboration with others will rank participants based on the scores. The judges’ score sheets will then be submitted to event officials to determine final ratings of participants.

The judges’ ranking of each participant then shall be added, and the winner will be that participant whose total ranking is the lowest. Other placing will be determined in the same manner (low rank method of selection).

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Manuscript | 200 |
| Presentation | 500 |
| Response to Questions | 300 |
| Total Points | 1,000 |

Tiebreakers

Ties will be broken based on the greatest number of low ranks. Participants’ low ranks will be counted and the participant with the greatest number of low ranks will be declared the winner. If a tie still exists, the event superintendent will rank the participants’ response to questions. The participant with the lowest rank from the response to question will be declared the winner. If a tie still exists, the participants’ raw scores will be totaled. The participant with the greatest total of raw points will be declared the winner.

Awards

Awards will be presented to individuals at the awards ceremony at the State FFA Convention based upon the cumulative judges rankings.

Awards are sponsored by a cooperating industry sponsor(s) as a special project and/or by the general fund of the Connecticut FFA Foundation or National FFA Foundation.

References

This list of references is not intended to be all inclusive.

Other sources may be utilized, and teachers are encouraged to make use of the very best instructional materials available. Make sure to use discretion when selecting website references by only using reputable, proven sites. The following list contains references that may prove helpful during event preparation. The most current edition of resources should be used.

Past CDE materials, finals hall footage and other resources are available on FFA.org.

* National FFA Core Catalog: DEVELOPING GREAT SPEECHES GUIDE
* APA Style Guide (most current edition) – www.apastyle.org
* Speak Well, latest edition, Liz O’Brien, McGraw-Hill Higher Education
* Purdue’s Online Writing Lab – APA Formatting Guide: https://owl.english.purdue.edu/owl/resource/560/01/
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Manuscript Content and Composition Rubric

200 points

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Name | | |  |  |
| Chapter |  | state |  |  |

| Indicator | Very strong evidence of skill is present 5-4 points | Moderate evidence of skill is present 3-2 points | Strong evidence of skill is not present 1-0 points | Points Earned | Weight | Total Points |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Topic relevance | Topic addresses an issue facing the industry of agriculture. | Topic addresses an issue that may show some relationship to the industry of agriculture. | Topic addresses an issue that is unrelated to the industry of agriculture. |  | x 6 |  |
| Persuasive explanation of position on topic | Position clearly stated and ample evidence is provided. | Position is not obvious and evidence is not clearly provided. | Position is not stated and evidence is not provided. |  | x8 |  |
| Alternative viewpoints recognized | Identifies and counters alternative viewpoints. | Only identifies alternate viewpoints. | Does not identify alternate viewpoints. |  | x 4 |  |
| Logical order and unity of thought | Clearly organized and concise with strong introduction, body and conclusion layout. | Good organization with few statements out of place or lacking in clear construction. | Little to no organization is present; sometimes awkward and lacking construction. |  | x 4 |  |
| Spelling/grammar (sentence structure, verb agreement, etc.). | Spelling and grammar are extremely high quality with two or less errors in the document | Spelling and grammar are adequate with three to five errors in the document. | Spelling and grammar are less than adequate with six or more errors in the document. |  | x 7 |  |
| Quality of resources | Resources are from reputable sources. | Resources are from questionable sources. | Resources are unreliable and invalid. |  | x 6 |  |
| Manuscript written according to guidelines | 5 points |  | 0 points |  |  |  |
| Double-spaced formatted to 8½” x 11” with 1” margins  12 point serif (Times new roman, Cambria, etc.) or sans serif font (Ariel, Calibri, etc.) |  |  |  | x 1 |  |
| Cover page with speech title, participant’s name, state and year |  |  |  | x 1 |  |
| APA style for references and citations |  |  |  | x 3 |  |
| Total Points | | | | | |  |

Presentation and Questions Rubric

800 points

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Name | | |  |  |
| Chapter |  | state |  |  |

| Indicators | Very strong evidence  of skill is present 5-4 points | Moderate evidence  of skill is present 3-2 points | Strong evidence of skill is not present 1-0 points | Points Earned | Weight | Total Score |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Oral Communication and non-verbal communication | | | | | | |
| Supporting evidence | Examples (stories, statistics, etc.) are vivid, precise and clearly explained. | Examples are usually concrete and sometimes need clarification. | Examples are sometimes confusing leaving the listeners with questions. |  | x 15 |  |
| Persuasive use  of evidence | Exemplary use of evidence to persuade listeners. | Sufficient use of evidence to persuade listeners. | Has difficulty using evidence to persuade listeners. |  | x 15 |  |
| Pace | Speaks very articulately at rate that engages audience. | Speaks articulately but occasionally speaks too fast or has long unnecessary hesitations. | Speaks too slow or too fast to engage audience. |  | x 15 |  |
| Command  of audience | Speaker uses appropriate emphasis and tone to captivate audience. | Speaker presents speech as mere repeating of facts and speech comes across as a report. | Speaker lacks enthusiasm and power to engage audience. |  | x 20 |  |
| Eye contact | Constantly looks at the entire audience (90 to 100 percent of the time). | Mostly looks around the audience (60 to 80 percent of the time). | Occasionally looks at someone or some groups (less than 50 percent of the time). |  | x 10 |  |
| Mannerisms and gestures | No nervous habits are displayed. Hand motions are expressive and used to emphasize talking points. | Sometimes exhibits nervous habits. Hands are sometimes used to express or emphasize. | Displays some nervous habits. Hands are not used to emphasize talking points; hand motions are sometimes distracting. |  | x 10 |  |
| Poise | Portrays confidence and composure through appropriate body language (stance, posture, facial expressions) | Maintains control most of the time; rarely loses composure. | Lacks confidence and composure. |  | x 15 |  |
| Response to questions | | | | | | |
| Response to questions | Is able to respond with organized thoughts and concise answers. | Answers effectively but has to stop and think and sometimes gets off focus. | Rambles or responds before thinking. |  | x 20 |  |
| Knowledge of topic | Answer shows thorough knowledge of the subject and supports answer with strong evidence. | Answer shows some knowledge of the subject but lacks strong evidence. | Answer shows little knowledge of subject and lacks evidence. |  | x 40 |  |
| TOTAL | | | | | |  |

Agriculture, Food and Natural Resources Content Standards

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| CS.01.01. Performance Indicator: Examine issues and trends that impact AFNR systems on local, state, national and global levels. | | |
| Measurement Assessed | Where measured in event | Academic Content Standards Addressed |
| CS.7.02.01.c. Evaluate a public policy within AFNR systems and defend or challenge it. | Manuscript |  |
| CS.02.02. Performance Indicator: Examine the components of the AFNR systems and their impact on the local, state, national and global society and economy. | | |
| CS.02.02.01.c. Devise a strategy for explaining components of AFNR systems to audiences with limited knowledge. | Presentation |  |
| CS.02.02.03.c. Evaluate how positive or negative changes in the local, state, national or global economy impacts AFNR systems. | Manuscript |  |
| CRP.04.01. Performance Indicator: Speak using strategies that ensure clarity, logic, purpose and professionalism in formal and informal settings. | | |
| CRP.04.01.01.a. Identify and categorize strategies for ensuring clarity, logic, purpose and professionalism in verbal and non-verbal communication (e.g., vocal tone, organization of thoughts, eye contact, preparation, etc.). | Presentation |  |
| CRP.04.01.02.b. Apply strategies for speaking with clarity, logic, purpose and professionalism in a variety of situations in formal and informal settings. | Presentation |  |
| CRP.04.02. Performance Indicator: Produce clear, reasoned and coherent written communication in formal and informal settings. | | |
| CRP.04.02.02.c. Compose clear and coherent written documents (e.g., agendas, audio-visuals, drafts, forms, etc.) for formal and informal settings. | Manuscript |  |
| CRP.04.03. Performance Indicator: Model active listening strategies when interacting with others in formal and informal settings. | | |
| CRP.04.03.01.b. Apply active listening strategies (e.g., be attentive, observe non-verbal cues, ask clarifying questions, etc.). | Presentation |  |
| CRP.04.03.02.c. Model active listening strategies in formal and informal settings. | Presentation |  |
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